

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/973,004 10/10/2001 William Dale Ritchie P67205US0 4782 **EXAMINER** 136 7590 10/01/2004 JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC HENDERSON, MARK T 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. **SUITE 600** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20004

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	SUPPLEMENTAL	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action	09/973,004	RITCHIE ET AL.					
Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mark T Henderson	3722					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 87 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. 🛛 1	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a)	they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)	they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec							
4. 🗌 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
7	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
(	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:	,		•				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 14-30</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. 🗌 1	The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					

A. L. WELLINGTON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/973,004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant had amended the claims by taking a limitation from a previously rejected dependent claim and placed the limitation in the previously rejected independent claim. The amended claims does not place the case in condition for allowance.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. DR. 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usmto.pov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,004 10/10/2001		William Dale Ritchie	P67205US0	4782	
136	7590 09/03/2004		EXAMINER		
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC			HENDERSON, MARK T		
400 SEVENT SUITE 600	H STREET N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3722		
			D. TELLA II ED 00/03/000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advison, Action	09/973,004	RITCHIE ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Mark T Henderson	3722						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee								
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) A they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			ŀ					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	amendment					
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) $oxtime$ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided below	)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 14-30</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	//					
10. Other:		A.f	wee 1					
		A.L. V	VELLINGTON/					
			PATENT EXAMINEI Gy Center 3700					

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/973,004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has now added the limitation of a die-cut extending "only partly into the patch of magnetic material" which will require further search and consideration.